1. (1.25 points)

a. (0.25 point)

Identify the main objective of the Office of Superintendent of Financial Institutions.

b. (1 point)

Identify four matters targeted by provincial insurance regulation.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

EXAM 6C FALL 2016 SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

QUESTION 1			
FOTAL POINT VALUE: 1.25	LEARNING OBJECTIVE(S): A1		
SAMPLE ANSWERS Part a: 0.25 point Any of the following: • Regulate and ensure the financial health of the federally regulated financial institutions in Canada by playing an active role in solvency monitoring and intervention measures where necessary • Regulate the financial safety & soundness of federally regulated institutions. • Monitor and promote solvency of insurer			
		Part b: 1 point	
		Any four of the following:	
		 Incorporation of insurance companies with 	n provincial objectives
 Private or local matters of province 			
Rate regulation			
Mandatory coverages			
Claims handling practices / claims settleme	ent		
Licensing of agents			
Market conduct			
 Unfair / sales practices 			
Premium payments			
Duty to disclose			
Insurable interest			
 Designation of Beneficiary 			
Reinstatement			
Incontestability			
 Policy details / terms and conditions of cor 			
 Facility associations and Risk Sharing Pools (Residual Markets) 			
 Solvency of provincially incorporated insur 			
Protect consumers' interest / policyholder	interest		
Policyholders dispute with insurers			
EXAMINER'S REPORT			
Candidates were expected to demonstrate underst company regulation vs provincial company regulation			
Part a			
Candidates were expected to state that OSFI's obje	ective is for insurers to meet their obligations to		
policyholders (safety & soundness / solvency).			

A common mistake was related to answers that were too vague (e.g. "protect policyholders").

EXAM 6C FALL 2016 SAMPLE ANSWERS AND EXAMINER'S REPORT

Part b

Candidates were expected to identify any four provincial regulation matters from either the KPMG PACICC or MacDonald papers.

Some candidates approached the question by identifying four separate contract matters, while others identified contract matters as one of four overarching matters. Both approaches were credited.

A common mistake was for candidates to list "contract matters" as well as specific contract matters (such as "designation of beneficiaries") in the same list of four items. The "contract matters" item was not awarded points in this case due to overlap.